

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/024,988	09/024,988 02/17/1998		RANDALL W. NELSON	5015C1	9007
20322	7590	10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
SNELL & 400 EAST			HOLLERAN, ANNE L		
ONE ARIZONA CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX,	AZ 8500	04-2202	1643		
		•		DATE MAILED: 10/13/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/024,988	NELSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anne L. Holleran	1643		

Before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne L. Holleran	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, at otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 September 2006</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	.,		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	•	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>S Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⋈ill not be entered, or b)    w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 31,33,40 and 48-50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 32,34-39 and 42-	47		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<del>77</del> .		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	2	
	LARRY SUPERVISOF	P. HELMS, PH.D. RY PATENT EXAMIA	ier

Continuation of 3. NOTE: A new issue under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph is raised by the amendment, becauce claims 33 and 49 have been amended to recite 'further consists'. Because consisting of is closed language and because the independent claims have been amended to be mehtods "consisting of" it is not clear how a dependent claim may "further consist". Additionally, the amendment of claim 33 presents a new issue under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because claim 40, which is dependent on claim 33, contains the transitional phrase "comprising", whereas claim 33 has been amended to recite "consists of"...